

August 2026 Compliance Deadline

EU AI Act Compliance Checklist 2026

Step-by-Step Implementation Guide — All Four Phases

Primary Keyword: EU AI Act compliance checklist · Covers: Providers, Deployers, GPAI Model Providers



Last updated: March 2026

Source regulation: Regulation (EU) 2024/1689

Website: euaiactguide.com

Author: Martina Fowler, Senior AI Regulatory Counsel

47

Checklist items

4

Implementation phases

Aug 2026

Primary deadline

€35M

Max fine (7% turnover)

Contents

Phase 1 — Scoping & Classification — 10 items

Phase 2 — Gap Analysis — 11 items

Phase 3 — Technical Implementation — 18 items

Phase 4 — Registration & Filing — 9 items

Role-Specific — Deployer-Only Obligations — 5 items

Role-Specific — GPAI Model Provider Obligations — 4 items

August 2026 — Priority Fast-Track Items

FAQ — Common Implementation Questions

■ **Time check:** As of March 2026, you have approximately 5 months until the August 2026 deadline. Conformity assessments for complex high-risk AI systems take 4–12 months. Start Phase 1 this week.

■ August 2026 — Priority Fast-Track Items

These four items have the longest lead times. Start them immediately regardless of where you are in the four-phase process.

① Appoint an Authorised Representative **[PRIORITY]** [Art. 22]

Identify an EU-established legal entity, execute a written mandate, and register their details. Allow 4–8 weeks. Mandatory for all non-EU providers.

② Establish Human-in-the-Loop (HITL) Controls **[PRIORITY]** [Art. 14]

Build real-time monitoring dashboards, override functions, and halt capabilities into each high-risk AI system. Document oversight procedures.

③ Compile Annex IV Technical Documentation **[PRIORITY]** [Art. 11 + Annex IV]

Assign a documentation lead and systematically complete all Annex IV elements: architecture, training data, testing, accuracy, human oversight, PMM plan.

④ Register in the EU AI Database **[PRIORITY]** [Art. 49]

Complete after conformity assessment. Submit via EU AI Office portal before market placement. Required for all Annex III high-risk systems.

PHASE 1 OF 4

Scoping & Classification

Determine your role, build your AI inventory, and classify every system. This phase sets the scope of all subsequent work.

STEP 1A — IDENTIFY YOUR LEGAL ROLE

■ Determine whether your organisation is a Provider, Deployer, Importer, or Distributor [Art. 3]

→ Map every AI system to one of the four actor definitions in Article 3. Document the conclusion for each system in a central AI Registry spreadsheet.

■ Assess whether modification of third-party AI reclassifies you as a Provider [Art. 25]

→ Review each AI tool you have customised or fine-tuned. If modification changes intended purpose or scope, full provider obligations apply.

■ If non-EU provider: appoint an EU-based Authorised Representative [Art. 22] **[PRIORITY]**

→ Identify a legal entity in the EU, execute a written mandate, register their details with your national competent authority before market placement.

■ Build a complete AI System Inventory

→ Create a structured register (spreadsheet or GRC tool) capturing: system name, vendor, intended purpose, deployment context, data inputs, and outputs.

STEP 1B — RISK CLASSIFICATION

■ Screen all AI systems against the eight Prohibited AI Practices [Art. 5] **[PRIORITY]**

→ Document a written determination for each system. Any positive finding requires immediate shutdown — these bans have been in force since 2 February 2025.

■ Screen each AI system against all eight Annex III high-risk categories [Art. 6 + Annex III]

→ Cross-reference intended purpose against Annex III: biometrics, critical infrastructure, education, HR, essential services, law enforcement, migration, justice.

■ Identify AI safety components embedded in Annex I regulated products [Art. 6(1) + Annex I]

→ For each regulated product (medical device, machinery, aviation), assess whether any embedded AI makes safety decisions. If yes, it is high-risk under Annex I.

■ Classify remaining systems as Limited Risk (Art. 50 duties) or Minimal Risk [Art. 50]

→ Systems interacting with humans (chatbots) or generating synthetic media trigger Art. 50 transparency duties. Document the required disclosure measure for each.

■ Document all risk classification decisions with supporting rationale

→ Prepare a one-page classification memo per system signed by a senior responsible person. This provides an audit trail if challenged by a surveillance authority.

■ Implement AI literacy training for all staff working with AI systems [Art. 4]

→ Design role-based training: technical staff need model behaviour awareness; business users need output interpretation; legal teams need regulatory obligation training.

PHASE 2 OF 4

Gap Analysis

Compare current AI governance practices against Act requirements. Surface exactly what needs to be built, upgraded, or documented.

STEP 2A — GOVERNANCE & POLICY GAPS

- **Assess your AI governance policy against Article 9 risk management requirements [Art. 9]**
→ Map existing AI policy against Art. 9 requirements. Record each gap — no lifecycle RMS, no documentation retention, no post-market monitoring — with an owner and deadline.
- **Review data governance policies against Article 10 training data requirements [Art. 10]**
→ Establish a Data Governance Policy including explicit protocols for bias detection, training data diversity, data relevance, and quality thresholds.
- **Assess technical documentation practices against Annex IV requirements [Art. 11 + Annex IV]**
→ For each high-risk system, identify which Annex IV elements exist and which are missing. This gap list becomes Phase 3's technical work plan.
- **Evaluate human oversight mechanisms against Article 14 standards [Art. 14]**
→ Test whether designated humans can in practice: monitor outputs, understand AI reasoning, disregard a recommendation, and stop the system immediately. Document all gaps.
- **Audit logging and record-keeping capabilities against Article 12 [Art. 12]**
→ Verify systems can produce decision-level logs. If not, this is a critical gap requiring engineering work in Phase 3.

STEP 2B — SUPPLY CHAIN & VENDOR GAPS

- **Obtain technical documentation from all third-party high-risk AI vendors**
→ Request conformity assessment summary, Annex IV documentation, and Declaration of Conformity from each AI vendor. Non-provision by mid-2026 is a compliance risk.
- **Review AI procurement contracts for EU AI Act compliance warranties**
→ Add compliance clauses to all AI vendor contracts: require conformity assessment completion, ongoing documentation, incident notification within 15 days, and liability indemnification.
- **Assess whether third-party AI is used outside the provider's documented intended purpose [Art. 25]**
→ Compare each vendor's documented intended purpose against your actual deployment. Out-of-scope use may trigger Article 25 reclassification as Provider.

STEP 2C — FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS GAP ASSESSMENT

-
- **Conduct Fundamental Rights Impact Assessments (FRIAs) for regulated-sector deployments [Art. 27]**
→ *Complete a FRIA for each high-risk AI deployed in public service, employment, credit, or essential services covering: scope, affected populations, rights impacts, and mitigations.*
 - **Align existing GDPR DPIAs with FRIA requirements for AI systems processing personal data**
→ *Extend existing DPIAs with FRIA-specific questions about fundamental rights impacts beyond privacy to avoid running two entirely separate assessments.*
 - **Prioritise gaps into a compliance roadmap with owners, budgets, and August 2026 milestones**
→ *Assign each gap a RAG status, a responsible owner, a budget estimate, and a completion date. Target internal completion by April 2026 for high-risk systems.*
-

PHASE 3 OF 4

Technical Implementation

Build or upgrade the technical systems, documentation, and processes required by the Act. Most resource-intensive phase — begin as early as possible.

STEP 3A — RISK MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (ART. 9)

- **Establish a documented Risk Management System (RMS) for each high-risk AI system [Art. 9]**
→ Create an RMS document identifying foreseeable risks in normal and misuse conditions, estimating likelihood and severity, and defining mitigation measures. Update after significant changes.
- **Define and document residual risk thresholds before deployment [Art. 9(4)]**
→ Set explicit residual risk acceptance criteria (e.g. false positive rate below X%). Document rationale, approver, and review schedule. Systems exceeding thresholds must not be placed on market.

STEP 3B — DATA GOVERNANCE (ART. 10)

- **Implement bias detection and testing protocols for all training datasets [Art. 10(2)(f)]**
→ Run demographic parity, equal opportunity, and disparate impact tests across all protected characteristics. Document results, corrective actions, and residual bias levels. Repeat after each model update.
- **Document training data provenance, quality criteria, and governance procedures [Art. 10(3)]**
→ For each dataset: document source, date range, coverage, limitations, preprocessing steps, and storage/access controls. This becomes part of Annex IV documentation.
- **Where special category data is used in training: document strict necessity justification [Art. 10(5)]**
→ Use of sensitive data in training is only permitted to detect/correct bias. Document the necessity case, GDPR legal basis, and additional safeguards (minimisation, access restriction, deletion schedule).

STEP 3C — TECHNICAL DOCUMENTATION (ART. 11 + ANNEX IV)

- **Compile the Annex IV "Living Document" technical documentation package [Art. 11 + Annex IV] [PRIORITY]**
→ Assign a documentation lead. Complete all Annex IV elements: architecture, training methodology, dataset descriptions, validation procedures, accuracy metrics, human oversight, and PMM plan.
- **Establish documentation version control — Annex IV docs must be kept current**
→ Store all technical documentation in version-controlled systems with change logs. Any change to training data, architecture, or intended purpose triggers a review within 30 days.

STEP 3D — LOGGING AND RECORD-KEEPING (ART. 12)

- **Implement automatic event logging capturing inputs, outputs, and decision parameters [Art. 12]**
→ Logs must enable post-hoc reconstruction of decisions. Ensure logs are tamper-proof, time-stamped, and accessible to national market surveillance authorities on request.

- **Set log retention: deployers retain minimum 6 months; align with sector-specific requirements [Art. 12(1) + Art. 26(5)]**
→ Build 6-month minimum retention into log storage infrastructure. Cross-reference with DORA, MiFID II, MDR where applicable — sector rules may require longer retention.

STEP 3E — HUMAN OVERSIGHT (ART. 14)

- **Design and implement Human-in-the-Loop (HITL) controls [Art. 14] [PRIORITY]**
→ Build real-time monitoring dashboards, a clearly labelled Override function, and a system halt capability for each high-risk AI system. Train designated oversight personnel.
- **Designate named AI Oversight Officers and document their responsibilities [Art. 14(1)]**
→ Assign a named Oversight Officer per high-risk deployment. Mandate: critically read AI outputs, escalate anomalies, exercise override authority, complete monthly oversight logs.
- **Ensure AI systems communicate confidence levels and escalate low-confidence decisions to human review [Art. 14(4)(b)]**
→ Programme systems to surface confidence scores alongside outputs. Define a threshold below which the system escalates to a human reviewer rather than proceeding autonomously.

STEP 3F — ACCURACY, ROBUSTNESS AND CYBERSECURITY (ART. 15)

- **Define accuracy benchmarks and test against independent validation datasets [Art. 15(1)]**
→ Specify metrics (F1, AUC-ROC, precision/recall) and document target vs achieved accuracy levels. Re-test after each significant model change.
- **Implement cybersecurity protections against adversarial attacks, data poisoning, model theft [Art. 15(5)]**
→ Apply adversarial robustness testing and input validation. Restrict model access. Align with NIST AI RMF cybersecurity controls and document all measures in your Annex IV documentation.

STEP 3G — INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE AND ARTICLE 50 TRANSPARENCY

- **Prepare Instructions for Use for each high-risk AI system [Art. 13]**
→ Draft a document covering: capabilities and limitations, intended/prohibited uses, required oversight measures, logging/maintenance requirements, and technical interface specifications.
- **Implement Article 50 transparency disclosures for chatbots, deepfakes, and emotion recognition [Art. 50]**
→ Chatbots: visible AI disclosure in first interaction. Synthetic media: machine-readable watermarking (e.g. C2PA metadata). AI-generated editorial content: human-readable disclosure label.

STEP 3H — QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (ART. 17)

- **Establish a Quality Management System covering AI design, development, testing, and monitoring [Art. 17]**
→ Document your AI compliance strategy, design/development procedures, testing protocols, incident management, and corrective action procedures. Extend an existing ISO 9001 QMS if available.

**Define a post-market monitoring plan specifying production performance tracking [Art. 72]**

→ PMM plan must cover: KPIs to track, data collection method, review frequency, incident escalation thresholds, and corrective action trigger process. Include in Annex IV documentation.

PHASE 4 OF 4

Registration & Filing

Complete formal compliance filings — conformity assessment, Declaration of Conformity, CE marking, and EU AI database registration. These gate your legal right to place the system on the EU market.

STEP 4A — CONFORMITY ASSESSMENT (ART. 43)

- **Conduct conformity assessment verifying compliance with Articles 8–15 [Art. 43]**
→ Most Annex III systems use internal self-assessment. Work through each Art. 8–15 requirement and record compliance evidence. Appoint a senior reviewer to sign off the assessment.
- **For biometric ID systems and Annex I products: engage an accredited Notified Body [Art. 43(1)]**
→ Identify a Notified Body via the EU NANDO database. Engage at least 6 months before target market placement
→ Notified Bodies have significant lead times and limited capacity.

STEP 4B — DECLARATION OF CONFORMITY AND CE MARKING

- **Prepare and sign the EU Declaration of Conformity for each high-risk AI system [Art. 47]**
→ DoC must contain: provider identity, system description, conformity statement, standards applied, date and place, and authorised signatory. Use Annex V as template.
- **Affix CE marking to high-risk AI system products [Art. 48]**
→ CE marking must be visible and legible on the system (or packaging/documentation for non-physical AI). If embedded in an Annex I product, the product CE marking covers the AI component.

STEP 4C — EU AI DATABASE REGISTRATION

- **Register each high-risk Annex III AI system in the EU AI database before market placement [Art. 49] [PRIORITY]**
→ Submit via EU AI Office portal: provider identity, system description, intended purpose, geographic scope, conformity assessment summary, and DoC reference. Retain registration number.
- **If deployer of high-risk AI in public-service contexts: register your deployment [Art. 49(2)]**
→ Deployers in law enforcement, migration, justice, and education must register their specific deployment separately from the provider's registration. Check national authority procedure.

STEP 4D — POST-MARKET OBLIGATIONS

- **Activate post-market monitoring from day of deployment [Art. 72]**
→ Implement your PMM plan. Set up automated dashboards tracking defined KPIs. Schedule quarterly AI governance reviews. Report material deviations from benchmarks to senior leadership.
- **Establish a serious incident reporting procedure — 15-day notification required [Art. 73]**
→ Define serious incident criteria aligned with Art. 3(49). Train operations staff to escalate immediately. The 15-day clock starts from first awareness — internal delays count against this window.

**Retain all compliance records for 10 years from market placement [Art. 18]**

→ Set a document retention lock in your DMS for all technical documentation, conformity assessments, and DoCs.
National authorities can request these documents at any time during retention.

ROLE-SPECIFIC

Deployer-Specific Obligations

These items apply specifically to organisations using (but not building) high-risk AI systems, in addition to the Phase 1–4 items above.

- **Verify each high-risk AI you deploy has a completed conformity assessment and valid DoC from the provider [Art. 26(1)]**
→ Request the DoC and conformity assessment summary from every vendor. Non-provision is a red flag creating direct regulatory exposure.
- **Use each high-risk AI only within the scope and conditions in the provider's Instructions for Use [Art. 26(1)]**
→ Maintain a copy of each vendor's Instructions for Use and circulate relevant sections to operational teams. Require manager sign-off for any novel deployment context.
- **Implement human oversight measures specified by the provider and train oversight personnel [Art. 26(2)]**
→ Take oversight requirements from the Instructions for Use and translate into internal procedures. Run tabletop exercises simulating AI anomalies to verify your override procedures work.
- **Maintain use logs for each high-risk AI system for minimum 6 months [Art. 26(5)]**
→ Configure systems to generate and retain Article 12 compliant logs. Build log review into your quarterly AI governance cycle.
- **Implement right-to-explanation and complaint procedures for AI-assisted individual decisions [Art. 26(10)]**
→ Establish a documented procedure for individuals to request explanation of AI-influenced decisions (credit denial, job rejection). Align with GDPR Article 22 procedure if one exists.

ROLE-SPECIFIC

GPAI Model Provider Obligations

These items apply to developers of General Purpose AI models (foundation models, LLMs). Obligations applied from 2 August 2025.

- **Prepare and maintain technical documentation of GPAI model training methodology, capabilities, and limitations [Art. 53(1)(a)]**
→ Document training data sources/volumes, compute (FLOPs), architecture, benchmarks, limitations, and safety testing results. Must be available to EU AI Office on request.
- **Publish a training data content summary sufficient for downstream providers to assess the model [Art. 53(1)(d)]**
→ Publish a model card covering: data categories, geographic representation, cutoff dates, and significant exclusions. Category-level summary is sufficient; proprietary details not required.

**Implement and document a copyright compliance policy for training data [Art. 53(1)(c)]**

→ Establish a data sourcing policy requiring copyright review before any web-scraped dataset is used in training. Document rights clearances and opt-out mechanism compliance.

**If model exceeds systemic risk threshold (10^{25} FLOPs): notify EU AI Office and complete adversarial testing [Art. 51-55]**

→ Submit notification to EU AI Office. Commission red-team testing covering harmful content, CBRN risk uplift, and cybersecurity capabilities. Report serious incidents within 15 days.

Authoritative Sources

- EUR-Lex Official Text: eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32024R1689
- EU AI Office: digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/policies/ai-office
- NIST AI Risk Management Framework: nist.gov/artificial-intelligence
- ISO 42001 AI Management System: iso.org/standard/81230.html
- CEN-CENELEC AI Harmonised Standards: cencenelec.eu
- OECD AI Principles: oecd.ai/en/ai-principles
- IAPP EU AI Act Resource Centre: iapp.org/resources/article/eu-ai-act-resource-center
- EDPB GDPR & AI Guidance: edpb.europa.eu



This checklist is for informational purposes and does not constitute legal advice. Individual compliance obligations vary by organisation, sector, and AI system. Consult qualified legal counsel for compliance decisions. Content reflects the regulation as of March 2026.